arXiv:cond-mat/9404025v2 29 Apr 1994

SPhT-94-043, UU-HEP/94-03

A Note on Statistical Interactions and the Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz

Denis Bernard*
Service de Physique Théorique de Saclay†
F-91191, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

Yong-Shi Wu
Department of Physics, University of Utah
Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, U.S.A.

March, 1994

Abstract

We show that the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz equations for one-dimensional integrable many-body systems can be reinterpreted in such a way that they only code the statistical interactions, in the sense of Haldane, between particles of identical or different momenta. Thus, the thermodynamic properties of these systems can be characterized by the generalized ideal gases recently proposed by one of us. For example, the Yang-Yang δ-function gas is a gas with specific statistical interactions between particles of different momenta, while the Calogero-Sutherland system provides a model for an ideal gas of particles with a fractional statistics.

[0*] Member of the CNRS

[0†] *Laboratoire de la Direction des Sciences de la Matière du Commisariat à l'Energie Atomique.*

It is well-known that in 1 space dimension (or in $1+1$ space-time dimensions), bosonic theories can be mapped into fermionic ones and reciprocally [1, 2]. Furthermore, some 1d many-body systems even exhibit a continuous boson-fermion interpolation, when the coupling constant varies in appropriate range. This is known to occur when the system can be solved by the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz, such as the Yang-Yang δ-function gas [3] or the Calogero-Sutherland system [4]. In this note, we give a simple characterization of the thermodynamic properties of these systems in terms of Haldane's statistical interactions [5] and the consequential generalized ideal gases [6]. In particular, we point out that the Bethe ansatz equations in these models can be re-written in such a way that all the dynamical interactions have been transmuted into statistical interactions.

1 Fractional statistics and thermodynamics

Haldane [5] has recently introduced a notion of fractional statistics which is independent of the dimension of space. This notion is not based on the monodromy properties of the N-particle wave functions, but on the way the number of available single-particle states varies when particles are added into the system. More precisely, consider a system with a total number of particles $N=\sum_j N_j$, with N_j the number of particles of the species j. Consider now adding a particle of the species i into the system without changing its size and the boundary conditions. Keeping fixed the positions of the N particles of the original system, the wave function of the new $(N+1)$-body system can be expanded in a basis of wave functions for the added particle. We denote by D_i the dimension of this basis. The important point is that this dimension may depend on the numbers N_j of particles in the original system. Assuming that this dependence is *linear*, Haldane defines the "*statistical interaction*" through the relation [5] :

$$\frac{\partial D_i}{\partial N_j} = -g_{ij} \ . \tag{1}$$

Clearly, for bosons the numbers of available single-particle states are independent of the numbers N_j, and $g_{ij}=0$. For fermions, the numbers of available single-particle states decrease by one for each particle added, and $g_{ij}=\delta_{ij}$.

One of the ideas underlying the introduction of the generalized Pauli principle (1) is the fact that bosons and fermions can be considered on an equal footing as far as state counting is concerned. Indeed, for bosons or fermions, the number of states of $(N+1)$ identical particles distributed among G accessible orbitals is :

$$W_{b,f} = \frac{(D_{b,f} + N)!}{(N+1)!\,(D_{b,f} - 1)!}$$

with

$$D_b(N) = G, \quad \text{and} \quad D_f(N) = G - N \ .$$

One of us [6] has recently generalized this to fractional statistics by directly counting the many-body states and assuming that the total number of states with $\{N_j\}$ particles is :

$$W = \prod_i \frac{[D_i(\{N_j\}) + N_i - 1]!}{N_i!\,[D_i(\{N_j\}) - 1]!} \ , \tag{2}$$

where $D_i(\{N_j\})$ is obtained by integrating (1) :

$$D_i(\{N_j\}) + \sum_j g_{ij}\, N_j = G_i^0 \ , \tag{3}$$

with $G_i^0 \equiv D_i(\{0\})$ a constant, which is interpreted as the number of available single-particle states when no particle is present in the system. Namely, G_i^0 are the bare numbers of single-particle states. In ref. [6], g_{ij} for $i \neq j$ was termed as "*mutual statistics*".

Knowing how to enumerate states, it is then possible to study the thermodynamics. In the thermodynamic limit, the numbers of particles $\{N_j\}$, as well as the bare numbers of available states $\{G_j^0\}$, become infinite. But the occupation numbers $n_i = (N_i/G_i^0)$ remain finite. The entropy is $S = k_B \log W$ with k_B the Boltzman constant. By definition, we call a system *a generalized ideal gas*, if its total energy with (N_j) particles is simply given by

$$E = \sum_j \ N_j\, \epsilon_j^0 \tag{4}$$

with constant ϵ_j^0. For such gases, the thermodynamic potential $\Omega \equiv -PV$ at equilibrium can be evaluated by minimizing

$$\Omega = E - TS - \sum_j N_j \mu_j$$

with respect to the variation of the densities n_i. Here T is the temperature and μ_i the chemical potential for the species i.

The resulting thermodynamics can be summarized as follows [6] :

$$\Omega = -k_B T \sum_i G_i^0 \, \log\left(\frac{1+w_i}{w_i}\right) \ , \tag{5}$$

where the functions w_i are determined by the equations :

$$\log\left(1+w_i\right) + \sum_j g_{ji} \, \log\left(\frac{w_j}{1+w_j}\right) = \frac{\epsilon_i^0 - \mu_i}{k_B T} \ . \tag{6}$$

These relations completely specify the thermodynamics of the generalized ideal gas. The other thermodynamic quantities can be derived from the relation :

$$d\Omega = -SdT - \sum_i N_i d\mu_i - PdV \ .$$

In particular, the occupation numbers n_i are obtained from $n_i G_i^0 = -\partial\Omega/\partial\mu_i$. It gives :

$$n_i = \sum_j \left(B^{-1}\right)_{ij} \quad , \tag{7}$$

where B is a matrix with entries : $B_{ij} = w_i \delta_{ij} + h_{ij}$, with $G_i^0 h_{ij} = g_{ij} G_j^0$.

The simplest example considered in [6] is the ideal gas of particles with a fractional statistics; i.e. $g_{ij} = g\delta_{ij}$, $\mu_i = \mu$. In this case, the statistical distribution of n_i is then given by $n_i = 1/(w_i + g)$, with w_i satisfying eq.(6) which now becomes:

$$w_i{}^g (1+w_i)^{1-g} = \exp\Big((\epsilon_i^0 - \mu)/k_B T\Big) \ . \tag{8}$$

For $g = 0$ (or $g = 1$), we recover the bosonic (or fermionic) occupation numbers.

2 The Bethe ansatz equations and state counting

The thermodynamic Bethe ansatz (TBA) is a method developed by Yang and Yang [3] for finding the thermodynamic properties of a one-dimensional (1d) gas of particles whose interaction is modeled by an integrable hamiltonian. For simplicity, we will assume that the particles have no internal quantum number.

In the Bethe ansatz approach to integrable models, the information is encoded in the two-body S-matrix. We denote it by $S(k)$ with k the relative momentum of the scattering particles; we have $S(k) = -\exp(-i\theta(k))$, where $\theta(k)$ is the phase shift and it is odd in k. The eigenstates of the N-body hamiltonian (with the periodic boundary condition) are labeled by N (pseudo-)momenta $\{k_r\}$ $(r = 1, , \cdots, N)$ (defined in the asymptotic regions), which are solutions of the Bethe ansatz equations :

$$e^{ik_rL} = \prod_{s\neq r} S(k_s - k_r) \quad \text{for all } r \ , \tag{9}$$

with L the length of the system. The energy of the eigenstate $|k_1, \cdots, k_N\rangle$ is found to be :

$$E = \sum_r \epsilon^0(k_r) \ , \tag{10}$$

where $\epsilon^0(k)$ is some universal function, e.g. $\epsilon^0(k) = k^2$.

Using this ansatz, Yang and Yang have derived the thermodynamic potential of such gas, which we denote by $\Omega = \Omega(L, T, \mu)$, at temperature T and chemical potential μ [3] :

$$\frac{\Omega}{L} = -k_BT \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \log\left(1 + e^{-\epsilon(k)/k_BT}\right) \ , \tag{11}$$

where the function $\epsilon(k)$ is determined by solving the TBA equations :

$$\epsilon(k) - k_BT \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk'}{2\pi}\, \phi(k,k') \log\left(1 + e^{-\epsilon(k')/k_BT}\right) = \epsilon^0(k) - \mu \ , \tag{12}$$

with $\phi(k, k')$ the derivative of the phase shift :

$$\phi(k,k') = i\frac{d}{dk} \log S(k,k') = \theta'(k-k') \ . \tag{13}$$

Here we point out that a simple characterization of the statistical properties of these systems can be given in terms of the notions we reviewed in the last section. Namely, the thermodynamic properties of the 1d TBA gases can be characterized as generalized ideal gases with non-trivial statistical interactions (or mutual statistics), if the particles with different momenta are considered as belonging to different species (subject to one and the same chemical potential).

As we now explain, the information about statistical interactions is encoded just in the Bethe ansatz equations (9), if it is rewritten in approriate form. Indeed, taking as usual the logarithm of eq.(9), the Bethe ansatz equations become :

$$L\,k_r = \sum_{s\neq r} \theta(k_r - k_s) + 2\pi\, I_r \ , \tag{14}$$

with $\theta(k)$ the phase shift. Here $\{I_r\}$ $(r = 1, \cdots, N)$ is a set of integers or half integers, depending on N being odd or even, which one may choose to serve as the quantum numbers labeling the eigenstates, instead of the momenta $\{k_r\}$. For simplicity, let us consider the cases when these I_r's can be chosen to be all different and still provide a complete set of solutions (i.e., one has a fermion description for the purpose of state counting). Though in this description the statistics looks simple (just like fermions), the dynamics is nontrivial in that the total energy is a complicated function of $\{I_r\}$. Now let rewrite eq. (14), in the thermodynamic limit (with $N \to \infty$, $L \to \infty$ and N/L fixed), in the momentum description for state counting, by dividing the range of momentum k into intervals with equal size Δk and labeling each interval by its midpoint k_i. (At the end of the discussion, we will take the limit $\Delta k \to 0$.) Then we treat the particles with momentum in the i-th interval as belonging to the i-th species, for which the bare available single-particle states is obviously $G_i^0 = L\Delta k/2\pi$. Now let us introduce the distribution for the roots, k_r, of the Bethe ansatz equations (14) by :

$$L\,\rho(k_i)\Delta k = N_i = \text{No. of } k_r \text{ in } (k_i - \Delta k/2, k_i + \Delta k/2) \ . \tag{15}$$

Note that $2\pi\rho(k_i) = N_i/G_i^0$ is the occupation number distribution.

Now, motivated by eq. (14), let us define :

$$\frac{2\pi}{L}\ I(k) \equiv k - \frac{1}{L}\sum_{k_s} \theta(k - k_s) = k - \sum_{j} \theta(k - k_j)\rho(k_j)\Delta k \ . \tag{16}$$

It is easy to see that whenever $I(k) = I$ with I an integer, the corresponding value of k is a possible root of the Bethe ansatz equations (14), and thus represents an accessible orbital. Therefore, the number of accessible orbitals, $\widetilde{D}_i(\{N_j\}) \equiv L\,\rho_t(k_i)\Delta k$, in the i-th momentum interval is given by

$$\widetilde{D}_i = I(k_i + \Delta k/2) - I(k_i - \Delta k/2) \ ; \tag{17}$$

or, using eq. (16) and taking the $\Delta k \to 0$, we have :

$$\rho_t(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk'\,\theta'(k-k')\rho(k') \ . \tag{18}$$

Recall that in the fermion description for state counting, the same W in eq.(2) is obtained with the number of accessible orbitals for the i-th species taken to be

$$\widetilde{D}_i(\{N_j\}) = D_i(\{N_j\}) + N_i - 1 = G_i^0 + N_i - 1 - \sum_j g_{ij}\,N_j \ . \tag{19}$$

Comparing eq. (18) with the continuum form of this equation, we identify the statistical interactions in the momentum description to be

$$g(k,k') = \delta(k-k') + \frac{1}{2\pi}\,\theta'(k-k') \ . \tag{20}$$

This shows that the dynamical interaction, which is summarized in the two-body phase shift, is transmuted into a statistical interaction in the momentum description.

The statistical interaction between particles of identical and different momenta is a manifestation of the following features of the spectrum of a TBA gas: The ground state corresponds to an equidistribution of the integral quantum numbers $\{I_r\}$ in a certain interval: $I_{r+1} - I_r = 1$; and the excited states correspond to particle/hole excitations in the integral lattice for I:

$$I_{r+1} - I_r = 1 + M_r^h \ , \tag{21}$$

where M_r^h is the number of holes, i.e. unoccupied integer numbers, between I_{r+1} and I_r. Therefore, changing to the momentum description, we have the total density of accessible states

$$\rho_t(k) = \rho(k) + \rho_h(k) \ , \tag{22}$$

where $\rho(k)$ is the particle density defined in eq.(15), and $\rho_h(k) = M^h(k)\rho(k)$ is the hole density, which is related to the distribution of unoccupied sites in the integer lattice of quantum number I. This equation is essentially the Bethe ansatz equation in the thermodynamic limit. In the light of this, eq.(18) implies the linear dependence of the hole density $\rho_h(k)$ on the particle density $\rho(k')$.

Having identified g_{ij} or $g(k,k')$, we turn our attention to the condition (4) for a generalized ideal gas. Because of eq. (10), this condition holds good:

$$\frac{E}{L} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk\ \rho(k)\,\epsilon^0(k)\ .$$

Thus there is no interaction energy between particles of different momenta. Contrary to the fermion description, in the momentum description the dynamics looks simple but statistics is non-trivial.

Now we can apply the formulas for the generalized ideal gas in the last section to derive the thermodynamic properties of the TBA system. It is not surprising that they lead to exactly the same results as Yang-Yang's. For example, eqs. (5) and (6) coincide with eqs. (11) and (12), with the following idenfication:

$$G_i^0/L \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \rho^0(k) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \tag{23}$$

$$w_i \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad Y(k) = \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon(k)}{k_B T}\right) \tag{24}$$

$$g_{ij} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad g(k,k') = \delta(k-k') + \frac{1}{2\pi}\phi(k,k') \tag{25}$$

with the discrete sum replaced by the integral over momentum. In particular, Yang-Yang's form of the thermodynamic limit of the Bethe ansatz equations :

$$\rho_h(k) + \rho(k) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dk'}{2\pi}\,\phi(k,k')\,\rho(k') = \rho^0(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \tag{26}$$

agrees with our state-counting equation (19) or (18), while their entropy[3] :

$$\frac{S}{L} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk\,[(\rho+\rho_h)\log(\rho+\rho_h) - \rho\log\rho - \rho_h\log\rho_h] \tag{27}$$

is just $S = k_B \log W$, with W given by our eq.(2), with the correspondence :

$$N_i/L \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \rho(k) \tag{28}$$

$$D_i(\{N_j\})/L \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \rho_h(k)\ . \tag{29}$$

This last idenfication is quite natural: the hole density $\rho_h(k)$ clearly represents the density of available states for an additional particle to be added.

3 Examples of generalized ideal gas

The simplest example of the TBA system is the repulsive δ-function boson[1] gas, with a two-body potential: $V(x) = 2c\delta(x)$, $c \geq 0$ (x being the relative coordinate). This model is integrable with a fermion description for the Bethe ansatz equations (14) with the two-body phase shift :

$$\theta(k) = -2\, tan^{-1}(k/c) \ . \tag{30}$$

Therefore, $\phi(k,k') = -2c/[(k-k')^2 + c^2]$, and the statistical interaction is :

$$g(k,k') = \delta(k-k') - \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{c}{c^2 + (k-k')^2} \ . \tag{31}$$

If $c \to 0$, the second term becomes $-\delta(k-k')$, so we have an ideal boson gas, as it should be. If $c \to \infty$, the second term vanishes for all finite k, and we have an ideal Fermi gas: $g(k,k') = \delta(k-k')$. For intermediate values of c, the thermodynamics of the gas is that of a generalized ideal gas with specific mutual statistics between different momenta.

Another well-known example is provided by the Calogero-Sutherland model [4]. This is a model of particles interacting through a $1/r^2$ potential. It is integrable, and its two-body S-matrix is

$$S(k) = -\exp\left[-i\pi(\lambda - 1)\, sgn(k)\right] \ , \tag{32}$$

with λ the coupling constant. Therefore, $\phi(k,k') = 2\pi(\lambda-1)\delta(k-k')$, and the statistical interaction is :

$$g(k,k') = \lambda\, \delta(k-k') \ . \tag{33}$$

The Bethe ansatz equation then reads :

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} = \rho_h(k) + \lambda\rho(k) \ . \tag{34}$$

---

[1] Boson here specifies only the symmetry of many-body wave functions, and does not refer to the statistical interaction that determines or characterizes the thermodynamic properties of the gas.

The coupling constant λ also governs the statistical interaction : if the density of particles of momentum k increases by a unit, then the holes density decreases by λ. The bare energy is $\epsilon^0(k) = k^2$, and the TBA equations reduce to algebraic equations (8) :

$$Y(k)^{\lambda} \left(1 + Y(k)\right)^{1-\lambda} = \exp\Big((\epsilon^0(k) - \mu)/k_B T\Big) \ .$$

Eq.(33) shows that the statistical interaction is purely between particles with identical momenta. In this respect, the Calogero-Sutherland system appears clearly as an ideal gas of particles with a fractional statistics[2], exhibiting the phenomenon of fractional exclusion [6].

Besides the values $\lambda = 0$, which corresponds to free bosons, the values $\lambda = 1/2,\ 1,\ 2$ are special, since at these values this system is related to the system of orthogonal, unitary, symplectic random matrices respectively. The case $\lambda = 1$ corresponds to free fermions. The case $\lambda = 1/2$ corresponds to "semions" with a half statistics. The case $\lambda = 2$ is dual to the semionic case: $Y^{(\lambda=\frac{1}{2})}(k;T) + 1 = Y^{(\lambda=2)}(k;-T)\, e^{(\epsilon^0-\mu)/k_B T}$. In these cases, the TBA equations can be simply solved. Finally, from eq.(34) we see that the duality $\lambda \longleftrightarrow 1/\lambda$ [8], which exchanges the system with coupling λ and $1/\lambda$, corresponds to exchanging particles and holes.

4 Possible generalizations

First let us remark that in some models a boson description for the Bethe ansatz equations is possible: i.e. the integers I_r in eq.(14) are allowed to repeat. Our discussions can be applied to these cases. Then the first term in eq. (20) disappears.

There are at least two potential generalizations of this approach:

— We can generalize this approach by relaxing the assumption we made in eq. (4), i.e. the energy spectrum for each particle species is degenerate. Let us denote by $\epsilon_i^0(\alpha_i)$ the energy spectrum with $\alpha_i = 1, \cdots, G_i^0$, then an alternative anstaz for the energy would be :

---

[2] The relation between fractional statistics and this model has been discussed, e.g., in ref. [7].

$$E = \sum_{j,\alpha_j} N_j(\alpha_j)\epsilon_j^0(\alpha_j) \ ,$$

where $N_j(\alpha_j)$ are the occupation numbers with $\sum_{\alpha_j} N_j(\alpha_j) = N_j$.This generalization should be related to the non-scalar Bethe ansatz (for models with internal degrees of freedom).

— We can use this approach to generalize the Fermi liquid theory by incorporating statistical as well as dynamical interactions. At thermodynamic equilibirum, the system will be described by the particle density $\rho(\vec{k})$ and the hole density $\rho_h(\vec{k})$. In D space dimension, the entropy will be assumed to be the same as in eq.(27) :

$$\frac{S}{L} = \int d^D\vec{k}\,[(\rho+\rho_h)\log(\rho+\rho_h) - \rho\log\rho - \rho_h\log\rho_h] \ , \tag{35}$$

where the densities $\rho(\vec{k})$ and $\rho_h(\vec{k})$ are assumed to be coupled with a phenomenological statistical interaction $g(\vec{k},\vec{q})$:

$$\rho_h(\vec{k}) + \int d^D\vec{q}\; g(\vec{k},\vec{q})\rho(\vec{q}) = \rho^0 \ . \tag{36}$$

Mimicing the Fermi liquid theory, the simplest ansatz for the energy is quadratic in the particle density :

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial\rho(\vec{k})} = \epsilon^0(\vec{k}) + \int d^D\vec{q}\; V(\vec{k},\vec{q})\rho(\vec{q}) \equiv \epsilon_{dr}(\vec{k};\rho) \ , \tag{37}$$

where $V(\vec{k},\vec{q})$ is a phenomenological dynamical interaction. As we will report elsewhere, the thermodynamic properties can be computed from eqs.(35, 36, 37). However, since the fields creating the particles with fractional statistics may possess fractional anomalous dimensions, we cannot guarantee that the ansatz (37) is adapted to the description of the low-energy/long-distance behavior of a system of such particles.

Acknowledgements: D. Bernard thanks O. Babelon for friendly discussions, and Y.-S. Wu acknowledges helpful discussions with B. Sutherland. Y.-S. Wu was supported in part by U.S. NSF grant PHY-9309458.

Note added: After the completion of the paper, we learn that since last year, the problem of state counting from BAE for the non-scalar integrable models (for particles with internal degrees of freedom) has been studied in several papers, in some of which the connection to the fractional statistics in Haldane's sense was noted, though no thermodynamics was addressed. See ref. [9]. We thank B. McCoy for telling us the existence of these papers.

References

[1] D.C. Mattis and E.H. Lieb, J. Math. Phys. 6 (1965) 304.

[2] S. Coleman, Phys. Rev. D11 (1975) 2088.

[3] C.N. Yang and C.P. Yang, J. Math. Phys. 10 (969) 1115.

[4] F. Calogero, J. Math. Phys. 10 (1969) 2191, 2197;
B. Sutherland, J. Math. Phys. 12 (1971) 251.

[5] F.D.M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1991) 937.

[6] Y.S. Wu, University of Utah preprint, February 1994.

[7] See, e.g., F.D.M. Haldane and B.S. Shastry, in the proceedings of the 16th Taniguchi Symposium, eds. N. Kawakimi and A. Okiji, Springer Verlag 1994, and references therein.
See also, P. Polychronakos, Nucl. Phys. B234 (1989) 597.

[8] R.P.Stanley, Adv. Math. 77 (1989) 76;
M. Gaudin, Sacaly preprint SPhT-92-158.

[9] See, for example, S. Dasmahapatra, R. Kedem, B. McCoy and E. Melzer, J. Stat. Phys. 74 (1994) 239;
R. Kedem and B. McCoy, Stony Brook preprint;
S. Dasmahapatra, R. Kedem, T.R. Klassen, B.M. McCoy, and E. Melzer Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 7 (1993) 3617;
E. Melzer, preprint hepth 9312043;
A. Berkovich, preprint hepth 9403073.